Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating
Fall 2008 through Fall 2014
Madjid Tavana

|  | Fall 2008 | Spring 2009 | Fall 2009 | Spring 2010 | Fall 2010 | Spring 2011 | Fall 2011 | Spring 2013 | Spring 2014 | Fall 2014 | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enrollment | 24 | 22 | 22 | 31 | 21 | 30 | 7 | 21 | 15 | 5 | 19.8 |
| Response | 22 | 22 | 21 | 31 | 21 | 30 | 7 | 21 | 15 | 5 | 19.5 |
| Response Rate | 92\% | 100\% | 95\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 98.7\% |


| 1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner | 4.91 | 4.74 | 4.95 | 4.77 | 4.95 | 4.77 | 4.71 | 4.85 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.79 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions | 4.86 | 4.52 | 4.86 | 4.71 | 4.76 | 4.80 | 4.86 | 4.85 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.74 |
| 3. The instructor generated interest in the course material | 4.82 | 4.48 | 4.67 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.60 | 4.57 | 4.65 | 4.53 | 4.40 | 4.61 |
| 4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material | 4.86 | 4.7 | 4.95 | 4.81 | 4.71 | 4.67 | 4.86 | 4.65 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.74 |
| 5. The instructor assigned grades fairly | 4.59 | 4.35 | 4.76 | 4.23 | 4.52 | 4.67 | 4.57 | 4.35 | 4.73 | 4.40 | 4.52 |
| 6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning | 4.67 | 4.22 | 4.71 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 4.63 | 4.43 | 4.45 | 4.53 | 4.40 | 4.50 |
| 7. I learned a great deal in this course | 4.73 | 4.22 | 4.57 | 4.45 | 4.24 | 4.40 | 4.43 | 4.35 | 4.33 | 4.40 | 4.41 |
| 8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course | 3.64 | 3.04 | 3.48 | 3.00 | 3.14 | 3.87 | 2.86 | 3.72 | 4.29 | 3.40 | 3.44 |
| 9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as | 4.77 | 4.61 | 4.81 | 4.61 | 4.48 | 4.83 | 4.71 | 4.65 | 4.53 | 4.40 | 4.64 |
| 10. I rate the overall quality of the course as | 4.68 | 4.35 | 4.67 | 4.48 | 4.38 | 4.63 | 4.71 | 4.55 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.53 |
| 11. If you sought the instructor's assistance outside of class, the instructor was helpful | 4.85 | 4.77 | 4.94 | 5.00 | 4.70 | 4.79 | 4.67 | 4.73 | 5.00 | NA | 4.83 |
| 12. The instructor treated students in this course with respect | 4.86 | 4.96 | 4.89 | 4.84 | 4.80 | 4.87 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.86 | NA | 4.88 |
| 13. Since the beginning of this course how many hours a week, on average, have you spent on this course in addition to class time? | 2.86 | 2.09 | 2.19 | 2.32 | 2.05 | 2.47 | 2.43 | 2.68 | 2.71 | NA | 2.42 |
| 1=Strongly Disagree...5=Strongly Agree OR 1=Poor...5=Excellent AND NA=Not Applicable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating

(Online Survey)

| Tavana Madjid <br> Fall 2014, 52:623:302:M1 - It And Project Mgmt (index \#18321) Enrollment $=5$, Responses $=5$ <br> Part A: University-wide Questions: | Student Responses |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted Means |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Strong Disagree 1 |  |  |  | Strong Agree 5 | No response | Section | Course | Level | Dept |
| 1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4.40 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.22 |
| 2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4.40 | 4.06 | 4.02 | 4.02 |
| 3. The instructor generated interest in the course material. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4.40 | 3.82 | 3.80 | 3.80 |
| 4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4.40 | 4.19 | 4.16 | 4.16 |
| 5. The instructor assigned grades fairly. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4.40 | 4.29 | 4.21 | 4.21 |
| 6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4.40 | 3.64 | 3.62 | 3.62 |
| 7. I learned a great deal in this course. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4.40 | 3.61 | 3.58 | 3.58 |
| 8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course. | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3.40 | 3.46 | 3.44 | 3.44 |
|  | Poor |  |  |  | Excellent |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as: | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4.40 | 3.77 | 3.74 | 3.74 |
| 10. I rate the overall quality of the course as: | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4.20 | 3.70 | 3.68 | 3.68 |

## Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating

(Online Survey)

| Tavana <br> Spring 2014, 22:198:604:60 - Computers \& Info Sys (index \#52330) <br> Enrollment=15, Responses $=15$ <br> Part A: University-wide Questions: | Student Responses |  |  |  |  |  | Weighted Means |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Strong Disagree 1 |  |  |  | Strong Agree 5 | No response | Section | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Departments: } \\ 135 \quad 136198 \\ 623 \quad 711960 \end{array}$ | Rutgers Business School |
| 1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 4.80 | 3.97 | 4.45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StdDev: 0.41 | 1.21 | 0.93 |
| 2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 4.80 | 4.23 | 4.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Staldev: 0.41 | 1.03 | 0.93 |
| 3. The instructor generated interest in the course material. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 4.53 | 3.84 | 4.29 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StaDev: 0.74 | 1.19 | 1.07 |
| 4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.54 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StdDev: 0.41 | 0.88 | 0.86 |
| 5. The instructor assigned grades fairly. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 4.73 | 4.48 | 4.39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StaDev: 0.59 | 0.84 | 0.93 |
| 6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning. | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 4.53 | 3.82 | 4.21 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stadev: 0.74 | 1.20 | 1.11 |
| 7. I learned a great deal in this course. | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 4.33 | 3.70 | 4.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StdDev: 0.82 | 1.28 | 1.11 |
| 8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course. | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 4.29 | 4.01 | 4.06 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StdDev: 0.83 | 1.15 | 1.10 |
|  | Poor |  |  |  | Excellent |  |  |  |  |
| 9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as: | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 4.53 | 3.78 | 4.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StdDev: 0.74 | 1.20 | 1.08 |
| 10. I rate the overall quality of the course as: | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 4.40 | 3.72 | 4.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StdDev: 0.83 | 1.17 | 1.07 |
| Part B: Questions Added by Department or Instructor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. If you sought the instructor's assistance outside of class, the instructor was helpful. (leave blank if not applicable) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 4.50 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StaiDev: 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.93 |
| 12. The instructor treated students in this course with respect. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 4.86 | 4.70 | 4.67 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Stadev: 0.36 | 0.68 | 0.74 |
| 13. Since the beginning of this course how many hours a week, on average, have you spent on this course in addition to class time? | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2.71 | 2.40 | 2.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | StaDev: 1.07 | 1.16 | 1.14 |

## Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Survey Spring 2013 (Online Survey) Departments: 135198623711960



## PART B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR

11. If you sought the instructor's assistance outside of class, the instructor was helpful. (leave blank if not applicable)

| 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10 | $\mathbf{4 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| StdDev: | 0.47 | 0.86 | 0.91 |
| 1 | 4.80 | $\mathbf{4 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 3}$ |
| StdDev: | 0.41 | 0.78 | 0.80 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2.68 | 2.59 | 2.37 |
| StdDev: | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.11 |


| $22: 198: 604: 40$ |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| ENROLL $=$ | 02948 |
| 14 |  |

PART A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:

1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly.
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.
7. I learned a great deal in this course.
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.

POOR
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:

0
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:

## PART B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR

11. If you sought the instructor's assistance outside of class, the instructor was helpful. (leave blank if not applicable)
12. The instructor treated students in this course with respect.
13. Since the beginning of this course how many hours a week, on average, have you spent on this course in addition to class time?
StdDev: $0.00 \quad 0.72 \quad 0.90$
4.55

55
4.49

| 0 | 5.00 | $\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 6 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S t d D_{V}:$ | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.75 |


| 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.75 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1 | 2.67 | 2.52 | 2.38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Survey <br> Spring 2012 (Online Survey) Departments: 135198623711960



## Rutgers Business School (Online Survey) Departments: 135198623711960



## PART B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR

11. If you sought the instructor's assistance outside of class, the instructor was helpful. (leave blank if not applicable)
12. The instructor treated students in this course with respect.

0
13. Since the beginning of this course how many hours a week, on average, have you spent on this course in addition to class time?

| 1 | $\mathbf{4 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 4 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| StdDev: | 0.52 | 1.22 | 0.98 |
| 0 | 5.00 | $\mathbf{4 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ |
| StdDev: | 0.00 | 1.22 | 0.93 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 4}$ |
| StdDev: | 1.13 | 1.33 | 1.17 |



## Rutgers Business School (Online Survey) Departments: 135198623711960



## Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Spring 2010 Rutgers Business School (Online Survey)



# Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Fall 2009 Rutgers Business School (Online Survey) 



# Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Spring 2009 <br> Rutgers Business School (Online Survey) 



Rutgers Business School: Graduate Programs: Management Science \& Information Systems Department Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Fall 2008 CIS 604: Computer \& Information Systems

| 22198 604 40 03715$\quad$ TAVANA | MADJID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | STRONGLY |  |  | STRONGLY |  | \# OF | MEAN | MEAN | STDDEV | MEAN | STDDEV |
|  | DISA | REE | NEUTRAL |  | REE | NO | OF | OF | OF | OF | OF |
| PART A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS: | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | RESP | SECTION | DEPT | DEPT | SCHOOL | SCHOOL |
| 1. The instructor was prepared for class and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The instructor responded effectively to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The instructor generated interest in the course material | 000 | 000 | 000 | 004 | 018 | 000 | 4.82 | 3.87 | 1.10 | 4.17 | 1.04 |
| 4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material | 000 | 000 | 000 | 003 | 018 | 001 | 4.86 | 4.27 | 0.98 | 4.43 | 0.88 |
| 5. The instructor assigned grades fairly | 000 | 000 | 002 | 005 | 015 | 000 | 4.59 | 4.31 | 0.96 | 4.33 | 0.91 |
| 6. The instructional methods encouraged |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. I learned a great deal in this course | 000 | 000 | 000 | 006 | 016 | 000 | 4.73 | 3.79 | 1.12 | 4.02 | 1.08 |
| 8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | POOR |  |  | EXCE | ENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as | 000 | 000 | 000 | 005 | 017 | 000 | 4.77 | 3.96 | 0.99 | 4.14 | 1.00 |
| 10. I rate the overall quality of the course as | 000 | 000 | 000 | 007 | 015 | 000 | 4.68 | 3.86 | 0.97 | 4.06 | 0.99 |
| PART B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. | 000 | 000 | 000 | 002 | 011 | 009 | 4.85 | 4.25 | 0.97 | 4.33 | 0.93 |
| 12. | 000 | 000 | 000 | 003 | 018 | 001 | 4.86 | 4.40 | 0.90 | 4.60 | 0.74 |
| 13. | 000 | 010 | 005 | 005 | 001 | 001 | 2.86 | 2.61 | 1.35 | 2.64 | 1.26 |
| 14. | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 022 | 0.00 | 3.33 | 1.53 | 3.83 | 1.36 |
| 15. | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 022 | 0.00 | 4.00 | 1.41 | 4.19 | 1.17 |
| 16. | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 022 | 0.00 | 3.75 | 0.96 | 4.00 | 1.12 |
| 17. | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 022 | 0.00 | 3.33 | 0.58 | 3.55 | 1.13 |
| 18. | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 022 | 0.00 | 3.67 | 1.15 | 4.18 | 0.87 |
| 19. | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 022 | 0.00 | 3.67 | 1.15 | 4.11 | 0.93 |

