Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating												
Fall 2008 through Fall 2014												
Madjid Tavana												
	Fall 2008	Spring 2009	Fall 2009	Spring 2010	Fall 2010	Spring 2011	Fall 2011	Spring 2013	Spring 2014	Fall 2014	Average	
Enrollment	24	22	22	31	21	30	7	21	15	5	19.8	
Response	22	22	21	31	21	30	7	21	15	5	19.5	
Response Rate 92% 100% 95% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 98.3												
1. The instructor was prepared for class and												
presented the material in an organized manner	4.91	4.74	4.95	4.77	4.95	4.77	4.71	4.85	4.80	4.40	4.79	
The instructor responded effectively to												
student comments and questions	4.86	4.52	4.86	4.71	4.76	4.80	4.86	4.85	4.80	4.40	4.74	
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material	4.82	4.48	4.67	4.71	4.71	4.60	4.57	4.65	4.53	4.40	4.61	
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward												
assisting all students in understanding course material	4.86	4.7	4.95	4.81	4.71	4.67	4.86	4.65	4.80	4.40	4.74	
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly	4.59	4.35	4.76	4.23	4.52	4.67	4.57	4.35	4.73	4.40	4.52	
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning	4.67	4.22	4.71	4.48	4.52	4.63	4.43	4.45	4.53	4.40	4.50	
7. I learned a great deal in this course	4.73	4.22	4.57	4.45	4.24	4.40	4.43	4.35	4.33	4.40	4.41	
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject												
matter and wanted to take this course	3.64	3.04	3.48	3.00	3.14	3.87	2.86	3.72	4.29	3.40	3.44	
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as	4.77	4.61	4.81	4.61	4.48	4.83	4.71	4.65	4.53	4.40	4.64	
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as	4.68	4.35	4.67	4.48	4.38	4.63	4.71	4.55	4.40	4.40	4.53	
11. If you sought the instructor's assistance												
outside of class, the instructor was helpful	4.85	4.77	4.94	5.00	4.70	4.79	4.67	4.73	5.00	NA	4.83	
12. The instructor treated students in this course with respect	4.86	4.96	4.89	4.84	4.80	4.87	5.00	4.80	4.86	NA	4.88	
13. Since the beginning of this course how many												
hours a week, on average, have you spent on												
this course in addition to class time?	2.86	2.09	2.19	2.32	2.05	2.47	2.43	2.68	2.71	NA	2.42	
1=Strongly Disagree	5=Strongl	y Agree Of	R 1=Po	or5=Excell	ent ANI	NA=Not	Applicable	•				

#### **Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating**

(Online Survey)

<b>Tavana Madjid</b> Fall 2014, 52:623:302:M1 — It And Project Mgmt (index #18321)	Student Re	spon	ses				Weighted Means				
Enrollment= 5, Responses= 5	Strong Disagree				Strong Agree	No response					
Part A: University-wide Questions:					5		Section	Course	Level	Dept	
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	1	1	3	0	4.40	4.22	4.22	4.22	
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	1	1	3	0	4.40	4.06	4.02	4.02	
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	0	0	1	1	3	0	4.40	3.82	3.80	3.80	
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	1	1	3	0	4.40	4.19	4.16	4.16	
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly.	0	0	1	1	3	0	4.40	4.29	4.21	4.21	
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	0	0	1	1	3	0	4.40	3.64	3.62	3.62	
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	1	1	3	0	4.40	3.61	3.58	3.58	
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	0	0	3	2	0	0	3.40	3.46	3.44	3.44	
	Poor				Excellent						
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	0	0	1	1	3	0	4.40	3.77	3.74	3.74	
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	0	0	1	2	2	0	4.20	3.70	3.68	3.68	

Enrollment= 15, Responses= 15

Part A: University-wide Questions:

Tavana

<b>Rutgers University</b>	Student	Instructional	Rating
(Online Survey)			

Spring 2014, 22:198:604:60 - Computers & Info Sys (index #52330)

8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.

11. If you sought the instructor's assistance outside of class, the instructor was helpful. (leave blank if not applicable)

13. Since the beginning of this course how many hours a week, on average, have you spent on this course in addition to class time?

9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:

Part B: Questions Added by Department or Instructor

12. The instructor treated students in this course with respect.

10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:

The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	0	3	12	0	4.80	3.97	4.45
							StdDev: 0.41	1.21	0.93
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	0	3	12	0	4.80	4.23	4.44
							StdDev: 0.41	1.03	0.93
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	0	0	2	3	10	0	4.53	3.84	4.29
							StdDev: 0.74	1.19	1.07
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	0	3	12	0	4.80	4.40	4.54
							StdDev: 0.41	0.88	0.86
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly.	0	0	1	2	12	0	4.73	4.48	4.39
							StdDev: 0.59	0.84	0.93
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	0	0	2	3	10	0	4.53	3.82	4.21
							StdDev: 0.74	1.20	1.11
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	3	4	8	0	4.33	3.70	4.16
							StdDev: 0.82	1.28	1.11

Student Responses

0 3

0 0

2

Poor n 0 2

> 0 0 3 3

0 0 0

1 6 4 2

Strong

Disagree

Weighted Means

Section

4.29

4.53

4.40

5.00

4.86

2.71

StdDev: 0.83

StdDev: 0.74

StdDev: 0.83

StdDev: 0.00

StdDev: 0.36

StdDev: 1.07

0

0

6

1

4.01

1.15

3.78

1.20

3.72

1.17

4.33

1.00

4.70

0.68

2.40

1.16

4.06

1.10

4.19

1.08

4.16

1.07

4.50

0.93

4.67

0.74

2.40

1.14

Departments:

135 136 198

623 711 960

Rutgers

School

Business

No

response

Strong

Agree

Excellent

10

12

1

#### Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Survey Spring 2013 (Online Survey) Departments: 135 198 623 711 960

22:	198:604:60 45518 ENROLL= 21	Tavana MADJID RESP= 21 (100%)	STRONGLY				STRONGLY	# OF	MEAN	MEAN	MEAN
	ENKOLL ZI	KESF- 21 (100%)	DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		AGREE	W OF	OF	OF	OF
PART	A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUE	STIONS:	1	2	3	4	5 I	RESPONSES	SECTION	DEPTS	SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was pre presented the material										
	manner.	-	0	0	0	3	17	1 StdDev:	<b>4.85</b> 0.37	<b>4.41</b> 0.82	<b>4.46</b> 0.91
2.	The instructor respond student comments and q		0	0	0	3	17	1 StdDev:	<b>4.85</b>	<b>4.28</b> 0.97	<b>4.45</b> 0.93
3.	The instructor generat course material.	ed interest in the	0	0	1	5	14	1	4.65	3.88	4.30
4.	The instructor had a p assisting all students		ard					StdDev:	0.59	1.22	1.04
	course material.	-	0	0	0	7	13	1 StdDev:	<b>4.65</b>	<b>4.32</b> 0.93	<b>4.52</b> 0.88
5.	The instructor assigne	d grades fairly.	0	0	3	7	10	1 StdDev:	4.35	<b>4.27</b> 0.93	<b>4.37</b> 0.92
6.	The instructional meth learning.	ods encouraged stude	ent O	0	1	9	10	1	4.45	3.74	4.17
7.	I learned a great deal	in this course.	0	1	2	6	11	StdDev:  1 StdDev:	4.35	1.22 3.90 1.14	1.11 <b>4.16</b> 1.09
8.	I had a strong prior i matter and wanted to t		ect 1	1	6	4	6	3	3.72	3.87	4.03
			POOR				EXCELLEN'	StdDev:	1.18	1.16	1.11
9.	I rate the teaching ef	fectiveness of the	POOR			1	EXCELLEN.	Ľ			
	instructor as:		0	0	0	7	13	1 StdDev:	<b>4.65</b> 0.49	<b>3.70</b> 1.24	<b>4.16</b> 1.08
10.	I rate the overall qua	lity of the course a	.s: 0	0	1	7	12	1 StdDev:	<b>4.55</b> 0.60	<b>3.79</b> 1.17	<b>4.14</b> 1.07
PART	B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY	DEPARTMENT OR INSTRU	CTOR								
11.	If you sought the inst outside of class, the (leave blank if not ap	instructor was helpf	ul. O	0	0	3	8	10	4.73	4.48	4.50
1 2	The instructor treated		Ŭ	Ü	Ü		Ü	StdDev:	0.47	0.86	0.91
14.	course with respect.	. Seadenes in chiis	0	0	0	4	16	1 StdDev:	<b>4.80</b> 0.41	<b>4.63</b> 0.78	<b>4.63</b> 0.80
13.	Since the beginning of hours a week, on avera this course in addition	ge, have you spent o		9	4	1	3	2	2.68	2.59	2.37
			_	-	-	_	-	StdDev:		1.25	1.11

# Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Survey Fall 2012 (Online Survey) Departments: 135 198 623 711 960

	Fall 2012 (Onli	ine survey)	Dе	parullent	S .	133 196	023 /11	960		
22:1	198:604:40 02948 Tavana Madjid ENROLL= 14 RESP= 13 (92%)	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGL	Y # OF NO	MEAN OF	MEAN OF	MEAN OF
PART	A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:	1	2	3	4	5	RESPONSES	SECTION	DEPTS	SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was prepared for class and									
	presented the material in an organized	1	0	0	1	1.1	0	4 60	4 50	4 44
	manner.	1	0	0	1	11	0	4.62	4.73	4.41
2	The instructor responded effectively to						StdDev:	1.12	0.72	0.96
۷.	student comments and questions.	1	0	0	1	11	0	4.62	4.57	4.41
	beddene commence and quescions.	_	O	Ü	_		StdDev:		0.78	0.96
3.	The instructor generated interest in the						550251.		0.70	0.50
	course material.	1	0	0	1	11	0	4.62	4.44	4.25
							StdDev:	1.12	0.80	1.07
4.	The instructor had a positive attitude to	ward								
	assisting all students in understanding									
	course material.	1	0	0	1	11	0	4.62	4.37	4.48
_				_			StdDev:		0.96	0.90
5.	The instructor assigned grades fairly.	2	0	0	1	10	0	4.31	4.53	4.35
		4-					StdDev:	1.49	0.79	0.96
6.	The instructional methods encouraged stude learning.	ent 1	0	1	2	9	0	4.38	4.26	4.11
	rearning.	Τ.	U	Τ.	_	9	StdDev:		0.93	1.15
7	I learned a great deal in this course.	1	0	0	5	7	o	4.31	4.32	4.09
, •	realised a great dear in this course.	_	Ü	Ŭ	O	,	StdDev:		0.90	1.12
8.	I had a strong prior interest in the subjection	ect					550251.		0.50	
	matter and wanted to take this course.	0	1	2	2	8	0	4.31	4.05	4.01
							StdDev:	1.03	1.03	1.09
		POOR				EXCELLE	NT			
9.	I rate the teaching effectiveness of the									
	instructor as:	0	0	0	4	9	0	4.69	4.38	4.11
1.0	T	0	0	0	_	7	StdDev:		0.83	1.09
10.	I rate the overall quality of the course a	as: 0	0	0	6	7	0 StdDev:	4.54	<b>4.37</b> 0.82	<b>4.11</b> 1.06
							Stabev:	0.52	0.82	1.06
PART	B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRI	ICTOR								
	If you sought the instructor's assistance	301011								
	outside of class, the instructor was help:	ful.								
	(leave blank if not applicable)	0	0	0	0	6	7	5.00	4.55	4.49
							StdDev:	0.00	0.72	0.90
12.	The instructor treated students in this									
	course with respect.	0	0	0	0	13	0	5.00	4.40	4.64
							StdDev:	0.00	0.88	0.75
13.	Since the beginning of this course how man									
	hours a week, on average, have you spent of		E	_	1	^	1	0 67	0 50	0 00
	this course in addition to class time?	0	5	6	1	0	1	2.67	2.52	2.38
							StdDev:	0.05	1.21	1.12

#### Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Survey Spring 2012 (Online Survey) Departments: 135 198 623 711 960

22:	198:604:60 65937 ENROLL= 19	Tavana Madjid RESP= 19 (100%)	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE	# OF NO	MEAN OF	MEAN OF	MEAN OF
PART	A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE Q	UESTIONS:	1	2	3	4	5 1	RESPONSES	SECTION	DEPTS	SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was p presented the materi manner.	repared for class and al in an organized	1	0	1	3	13	1	4.50	4.48	4.35
2	The instructor respo	nded offeetively to						StdDev:	1.04	0.94	1.01
	student comments and	questions.	1	0	0	3	15	0 StdDev:	<b>4.63</b> 0.96	<b>4.47</b> 0.89	<b>4.34</b> 1.01
3.	The instructor gener course material.	ated interest in the	0	0	1	5	13	0 StdDev:	<b>4.63</b>	<b>4.22</b> 1.01	<b>4.24</b> 1.08
4.	The instructor had a assisting all studen	positive attitude tow ts in understanding	ard								
	course material.	-	0	0	0	4	15	0 StdDev:	<b>4.79</b> 0.42	<b>4.55</b> 0.84	<b>4.44</b> 0.95
5.	The instructor assig	ned grades fairly.	0	0	0	7	11	1 StdDev:	<b>4.61</b> 0.50	<b>4.34</b> <i>0.86</i>	<b>4.28</b> 0.99
6.	The instructional me learning.	thods encouraged stude	nt O	0	1	3	15	0	4.74	4.22	4.09
7.	I learned a great de	al in this course.	0	0	1	4	14	StdDev: 0 StdDev:	4.68	1.04 <b>4.12</b> 1.11	1.15 <b>4.09</b> 1.13
8.	I had a strong prior matter and wanted to	interest in the subjetake this course.	ct 0	5	7	0	7	0	3.47	3.77	4.04
			POOR				EXCELLEN'	StdDev: T	1.26	1.13	1.08
9.	I rate the teaching instructor as:	effectiveness of the	0	0	1	4	14	0	4.68	4.22	4.08
10.	I rate the overall q	uality of the course a	s: 0	0	1	5	12	StdDev: 1 StdDev:	4.61	1.06 <b>4.11</b> 1.04	1.13 <b>4.07</b> 1.10
	If you sought the in	Y DEPARTMENT OR INSTRU structor's assistance e instructor was helpf									
10	(leave blank if not		0	0	0	3	10	6 StdDev:	<b>4.77</b> 0.44	<b>4.63</b> 0.86	<b>4.43</b> 1.00
14.	The instructor treat course with respect.		0	0	0	2	16	1 StdDev:	<b>4.89</b> 0.32	<b>4.63</b> 0.71	<b>4.56</b> <i>0.86</i>
13.	hours a week, on ave	of this course how man rage, have you spent o	n								
	this course in addit	ion to class time?	1	11	4	1	2	0 StdI	2.58 Dev: 1.07	2.51 7 1.1	<b>2.36</b> 7 1.13

## Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Fall 2011 Rutgers Business School (Online Survey) Departments: 135 198 623 711 960

22:1	198:604:40 23204 Tavana Madjid ENROLL= 7 RESP= 7 (100%)	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL	;	STRONGLY AGREE	# OF NO	MEAN OF	MEAN OF	MEAN OF
PART	A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:	1	2	3	4	5 R	ESPONSES	SECTION	DEPTS	SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized									
	manner.	0	0	0	2	5	0 StdDev:	<b>4.71</b> 0.49	<b>4.51</b> 0.90	<b>4.33</b> 0.99
	The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	0	1	6	0 StdDev:	<b>4.86</b> 0.38	<b>4.17</b> 1.04	<b>4.29</b> 1.02
3.	The instructor generated interest in the course material.	0	0	0	3	4	0 StdDev:	<b>4.57</b> 0.53	<b>4.09</b> 1.09	<b>4.17</b> 1.12
4.	The instructor had a positive attitude tow assisting all students in understanding	ard								
	course material.	0	0	0	1	6	0 StdDev:		<b>4.05</b> 1.15	<b>4.35</b> 1.00
	The instructor assigned grades fairly.	0	0	0	3	4	0 StdDev:	<b>4.57</b> 0.53	<b>4.11</b> 1.12	<b>4.23</b> 1.05
6.	The instructional methods encouraged stude learning.	nt O	0	0	4	3	0 StdDev:	<b>4.43</b> 0.53	<b>3.90</b> 1.20	<b>4.04</b> 1.16
7.	I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	0	4	3	0 StdDev:	4.43	<b>4.14</b> 1.09	<b>4.05</b> 1.14
8.	I had a strong prior interest in the subjematter and wanted to take this course.	ct 1	0	5	1	0	0	2.86	3.81	3.91
		POOR			1	EXCELLENT	StdDev:	0.90	1.14	1.12
9.	I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	0	0	0	2	5	0	4.71	3.99	4.01
10.	I rate the overall quality of the course a	s: 0	0	0	2	5	StdDev: 0 StdDev:	4.71	1.17 <b>4.04</b> 1.06	1.14 <b>4.02</b> 1.10
חת גת	D. OVERSTONE ADDED BY DEDARMENT OF THOMBI	СШОР								
	B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRU- If you sought the instructor's assistance outside of class, the instructor was helpf									
	(leave blank if not applicable)	0	0	0	2	4	1 StdDev:	<b>4.67</b> 0.52	<b>4.10</b> 1.22	<b>4.40</b> 0.98
	The instructor treated students in this course with respect.	0	0	0	0	7	0 StdDev:	<b>5.00</b> 0.00	<b>4.01</b> 1.22	<b>4.50</b> 0.93
13.	Since the beginning of this course how man hours a week, on average, have you spent o this course in addition to class time?		1	3	1	0	0 StdDev:	<b>2.43</b> 1.13	<b>2.57</b> 1.33	<b>2.44</b> 1.17

## Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Spring 2011 Rutgers Business School (Online Survey) Departments: 135 198 623 711 960

22 1	198 604 60 46261 ENROLL= 30	- ( /	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL	\$	STRONGLY AGREE	# OF NO	MEAN OF	MEAN OF	MEAN OF
PART	A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUE	STIONS:	1	2	3	4	5 F	RESPONSES	SECTION	DEPTS	SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was prep	pared for class and									
	presented the material	in an organized mann	er 1	0	1	1	27	0	4.77	4.34	4.34
2.	The instructor responde	ed effectively to						StdDev:	0.82	1.07	1.03
	student comments and qu		1	0	0	2	27	0	4.80	4.32	4.32
2	The instructor concret	od interport in the						StdDev:	0.76	1.06	1.02
٥.	The instructor generate course material	ed interest in the	1	0	1	6	22	0	4.60	4.03	4.13
								StdDev:	0.86	1.12	1.14
4.	The instructor had a possisting all students		rd								
	course material	In understanding	1	0	1	4	24	0	4.67	4.33	4.43
								StdDev:		1.01	0.94
5.	The instructor assigned	d grades fairly	1	0	0	6	23	0 StdDev:	<b>4.67</b>	<b>4.21</b> 1.08	<b>4.27</b> 0.99
6.	The instructional method	ods encouraged studen	t					stabev.	0.00	1.00	0.99
	learning	-	1	0	2	3	24	0	4.63	3.86	4.00
7	I learned a great deal	in this course	1	0	3	8	18	StdDev:	0.89 <b>4.40</b>	1.31 <b>3.76</b>	1.21 <b>3.97</b>
, •	r rearmed a great dear		_	Ü	9	Ü	10	StdDev:		1.34	1.21
8.	I had a strong prior in	=		1	1.0	7	1.1	0	2 07	2 66	2 00
	matter and wanted to to	ake this course	1	1	10	7	11	0 StdDev:	<b>3.87</b> 1.07	<b>3.66</b> 1.20	<b>3.99</b> 1.08
			POOR			1	EXCELLENT				
9.	I rate the teaching efficient instructor as	fectiveness of the	0	0	0	5	25	0	4.83	4.03	4.03
	Institution as		O	U	O	J	25	StdDev:		1.17	1.15
10.	I rate the overall qua	lity of the course as	0	0	2	7	21	0	4.63	3.89	4.00
								StdDev:	0.61	1.20	1.12
	B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY I If you sought the instroutside of class, the	ructor's assistance									
	(leave blank if not app	_	0	0	1	2	16	11	4.79	4.46	4.45
1 2	The instructor treated	etudente in this						StdDev:	0.54	0.91	0.92
12.	course with respect	students in this	0	1	0	1	28	0	4.87	4.55	4.61
	-							StdDev:	0.57	0.87	0.77
13.	Since the beginning of hours a week, on average										
	this course in addition		2	15	11	1	1	0	2.47	2.33	2.31
								StdDev:	0.82	1.15	1.09

## Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Fall 2010 Rutgers Business School (Online Survey) Departments: 135 198 623 711 960

22	- ( /	TRONGLY		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE	Y # OF NO	MEAN OF	MEAN OF	MEAN OF
PART	A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:	1	2	3	4	5	RESPONSES	SECTION	DEPTS	SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner	er 0	0	0	1	20	0 StdDev:	<b>4.95</b>	<b>4.42</b> 0.89	<b>4.31</b> 1.02
2.	The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions	0	0	0	5	16	0 StdDev:	4.76	<b>4.01</b> 1.07	<b>4.23</b> 1.07
3.	The instructor generated interest in the course material	0	0	2	2	17	0 StdDev:	4.71	3.89 1.08	<b>4.09</b> 1.16
4.	The instructor had a positive attitude towar assisting all students in understanding course material	rd 0	0	1	4	16		4.71	3.76	4.32
5.	The instructor assigned grades fairly	1	0	0	6	14	0 <i>StdDev:</i> 0	0.56 <b>4.52</b>	1.31 4.11	1.04 <b>4.22</b>
6.	The instructional methods encouraged student learning	0	0	2	6	13	StdDev:	0.93 <b>4.52</b>	1.04 3.74	1.04 3.97
7.	I learned a great deal in this course	0	2	4	2	13	StdDev: 0 StdDev:	4.24	1.22 3.88 1.09	1.22 <b>3.96</b> 1.19
8.	I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course	5	2	5	3	6	0 StdDev:	<b>3.14</b>	<b>3.71</b> 1.26	<b>3.89</b> 1.15
9.	I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as	0	0	2	7	12	0	4.48	3.78	3.99
10.	I rate the overall quality of the course as	0	0	3	7	11	StdDev: 0 StdDev:	4.38	1.14 3.85 0.98	1.17 3.96 1.13
	B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCT If you sought the instructor's assistance outside of class, the instructor was helpful									
1.2	(leave blank if not applicable)  The instructor treated students in this	0	0	0	3	7	11 StdDev:	<b>4.70</b> 0.48	<b>3.86</b> 1.26	<b>4.35</b> 1.03
	course with respect	0	0	1	2	17	1 StdDev:	<b>4.80</b> 0.52	<b>3.70</b> 1.37	<b>4.49</b> 0.93
13.	Since the beginning of this course how many hours a week, on average, have you spent on this course in addition to class time?	4	12	5	0	0	0 StdDev:	<b>2.05</b> <i>0.67</i>	<b>2.09</b> 1.10	<b>2.33</b> 1.11

#### Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Spring 2010 Rutgers Business School (Online Survey)

22 1		TRONGLY ISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE	r # OF NO	MEAN OF	MEAN OF	MEAN OF
PART	A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:	1	2	3	4	5	RESPONSES	SECTION	DEPTS	SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner	r 0	1	0	4	26	0 StdDev:	4.77	<b>4.38</b> <i>0.97</i>	<b>4.36</b> 0.97
2.	The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions	0	1	0	6	24	0	4.71	4.19	4.30
3.	The instructor generated interest in the course material	0	1	1	4	25	StdDev:	0.64 <b>4.71</b>	1.15 3.78	1.03 4.14
4.	The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding	d					StdDev:	0.69	1.29	1.15
	course material	0	0	0	6	25	0 StdDev:		<b>4.30</b> 1.03	<b>4.41</b> 0.95
	The instructor assigned grades fairly  The instructional methods encouraged student	0	1	4	12	13	1 StdDev:	<b>4.23</b> 0.82	<b>4.07</b> 1.14	<b>4.22</b> 1.04
	learning	1	0	4	4	22	0 StdDev:		<b>3.68</b> 1.30	<b>3.97</b> 1.20
	I learned a great deal in this course  I had a strong prior interest in the subject	1	1	2	6	21	0 StdDev:	<b>4.45</b> 0.99	<b>3.69</b> 1.30	<b>3.95</b> 1.20
	matter and wanted to take this course	5	6	10	0	8	2 StdDev:	<b>3.00</b> <i>1.44</i>	<b>3.24</b> 1.27	<b>3.90</b> 1.13
9.	I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as	0	1	2	5	23	0 StdDev:	<b>4.61</b>	<b>3.67</b> 1.32	<b>3.98</b> 1.16
10.	I rate the overall quality of the course as	1	1	1	7	21	0 StdDev:	4.48	3.68 1.23	3.96 1.14
	B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR If you sought the instructor's assistance									
	outside of class, the instructor was helpful (leave blank if not applicable)	0	0	0	0	15	16 StdDev:	<b>5.00</b> 0.00	<b>4.14</b> 1.34	<b>4.42</b> 0.98
12.	The instructor treated students in this course with respect	0	0	0	5	26	0 StdDev:	<b>4.84</b> <i>0.37</i>	<b>4.56</b> 0.88	<b>4.57</b> 0.83
13.	Since the beginning of this course how many hours a week, on average, have you spent on this course in addition to class time?	4	17	7	2	1	0 StdDev:	<b>2.32</b> 0.91	<b>2.06</b> 0.92	<b>2.17</b> 1.05

#### Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Fall 2009 Rutgers Business School (Online Survey)

22	198 604 40 23524 ENROLL= 22	TAVANA Madjid RESP= 21 (95%)	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE	# OF NO	MEAN OF	MEAN OF	MEAN OF
PART	A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUE	ESTIONS:	1	2	3	4	5	RESPONSES	SECTION	DEPTS	SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was prepresented the material		ner 0	0	0	1	20	0 StdDev:	<b>4.95</b>	<b>4.46</b> 0.93	<b>4.31</b> 1.00
2.	The instructor respond student comments and of		0	0	0	3	18	0 StdDev:	<b>4.86</b> 0.36	<b>4.23</b>	<b>4.23</b> 1.06
3.	The instructor generat course material	ted interest in the	0	0	1	5	15	0 StdDev:	<b>4.67</b>	<b>3.99</b>	<b>4.11</b> 1.13
4.	The instructor had a passisting all students course material		ard O	0	0	1	20	0	4.95	4.05	4.35
5.	The instructor assigned	ed grades fairly	0	0	1	3	17	StdDev:	0.22 <b>4.76</b>	1.24 <b>4.20</b>	0.99 <b>4.20</b>
6.	The instructional meth	nods encouraged studer	nt O	0	1	4	16	StdDev:	4.71	1.05 3.95	1.03 3.94
7.	I learned a great deal	l in this course	0	0	2	5	14	StdDev: 0 StdDev:	4.57	1.21 3.95 1.06	1.20 <b>3.94</b> 1.19
8.	I had a strong prior is matter and wanted to t	=	3 3	1	6	5	6	0 StdDev:	<b>3.48</b>	<b>3.51</b> 1.24	<b>3.82</b> 1.17
9.	I rate the teaching ef instructor as	ffectiveness of the	0	0	0	4	17	0 StdDev:	4.81	<b>4.03</b> 1.12	3.95 1.17
	I rate the overall qua	_		0	1	5	15	0 StdDev:	4.67	3.98 1.04	3.93 1.13
PART	B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY	DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUC	CTOR								
11.	If you sought the inst outside of class, the (leave blank if not ap	instructor was helpfu	ıl. O	0	0	1	15	5	4.94	4.26	4.37
12.	The instructor treated course with respect	d students in this	0	0	0	2	17	StdDev: 2	4.89	1.16 4.01	1.03 4.48
13.	Since the beginning of hours a week, on avera	age, have you spent or						StdDev:		1.25	0.94
	this course in addition	on to class time?	4	11	4	2	0	0 StdDev:	<b>2.19</b> 0.87	2.05 1.14	<b>2.36</b> 1.10

## Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Spring 2009 Rutgers Business School (Online Survey)

	DI	RONGLY SAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE	NO	MEAN OF	MEAN OF	MEAN OF
PART	A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:	1	2	3	4	5	RESPONSES	SECTION	DEPTS	SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner	1	0	0	2	20	0 StdDev:	<b>4.74</b> 0.86	<b>4.05</b> 1.22	<b>4.26</b> 1.04
2.	The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions	1	0	0	7	15	0 StdDev:	<b>4.52</b> 0.90	<b>4.07</b> 1.02	<b>4.29</b> 1.00
	The instructor generated interest in the course material	1	0	1	6	15	0 StdDev:	<b>4.48</b> 0.95	<b>3.63</b> 1.31	<b>4.07</b> 1.13
4.	The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material	1	0	0	3	19	0	4.70	4.25	4.39
	The instructor assigned grades fairly	1	1	1	6	14	StdDev: 0 StdDev:	4.35	0.98 <b>4.19</b> 0.97	0.92 <b>4.28</b> 0.95
6.	The instructional methods encouraged student learning	1	0	2	10	10	0 StdDev:	<b>4.22</b> 0.95	<b>3.55</b> 1.26	<b>3.90</b> 1.20
	I learned a great deal in this course  I had a strong prior interest in the subject	1	1	2	7	12	0 StdDev:	<b>4.22</b> 1.09	<b>3.60</b> 1.29	<b>3.90</b> 1.18
	matter and wanted to take this course	1	6	9	5	2	0 StdDev:	<b>3.04</b> 1.02	<b>3.07</b> <i>1.20</i>	<b>3.90</b> 1.10
9.	I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as	0	0	0	9	14	0 StdDev:	<b>4.61</b> 0.50	<b>3.70</b> 1.20	<b>3.95</b> 1.14
10.	I rate the overall quality of the course as	0	0	3	9	11	0 StdDev:	<b>4.35</b> 0.71	<b>3.53</b> 1.19	<b>3.91</b> 1.12
	B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTORY If you sought the instructor's assistance outside of class, the instructor was helpful.									
12.	(leave blank if not applicable)  The instructor treated students in this	0	0	0	3	10	10 StdDev:	<b>4.77</b> 0.44	<b>4.27</b> 1.09	<b>4.44</b> 0.93
	course with respect Since the beginning of this course how many	0	0	0	1	22	0 StdDev:	<b>4.96</b> 0.21	<b>4.55</b> 0.86	<b>4.61</b> 0.75
13.	hours a week, on average, have you spent on this course in addition to class time?	3	16	3	1	0	0 StdDev:	<b>2.09</b> <i>0.67</i>	<b>2.11</b> <i>1.01</i>	<b>2.23</b> 1.02



### Rutgers Business School: Graduate Programs: Management Science & Information Systems Department Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating Fall 2008

CIS 604: Computer & Information Systems

22 198 604 40 03715 TAVANA Enrollment = 024 Responses = 022		MADJID										
		STRONGLY			STRONGLY			MEAN	MEAN	STDDEV	MEAN	STDDEV
PART A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:		DISAC	3REE 2	NEUTRAL 3	4	AGREE 5	NO RESP	OF SECTION	OF DEPT	OF DEPT	OF SCHOOL	OF SCHOOL
1.	The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner	000	000	000	002	020	000	4.91	4.32	0.98	4.37	0.94
2.	The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions	000	000	000	003	019	000	4.86	4.20	0.99	4.36	0.93
3.	The instructor generated interest in the course material	000	000	000	004	018	000	4.82	3.87	1.10	4.17	1.04
4.	The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material	i 000	000	000	003	018	001	4.86	4.27	0.98	4.43	0.88
5.	The instructor assigned grades fairly	000	000	002	005	015	000	4.59	4.31	0.96	4.33	0.91
6.	The instructional methods encouraged student learning	000	000	000	007	014	001	4.67	3.81	1.07	4.02	1.07
7.	I learned a great deal in this course	000	000	000	006	016	000	4.73	3.79	1.12	4.02	1.08
8.	I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course	000	003	007	007	005	000	3.64	3.28	1.24	3.78	1.13
		POOR			EXCELLENT							
9.	I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as	000	000	000	005	017	000	4.77	3.96	0.99	4.14	1.00
10.	I rate the overall quality of the course as	000	000	000	007	015	000	4.68	3.86	0.97	4.06	0.99
PART B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR:												
11.		000	000		002	011 018	009 001	4.85 4.86	4.25	0.97 0.90	4.33 4.60	0.93 0.74
13.		000	010		005	001	001	2.86	2.61	1.35	2.64	1.26
14.		000	000		000	000	022	0.00	3.33	1.53	3.83	1.36
15.		000	000		000	000	022	0.00	4.00	1.41	4.19	1.17
16. 17.		000	000		000	000	022 022	0.00	3.75 3.33	0.96 0.58	4.00 3.55	1.12
18.		000	000		000	000	022	0.00	3.67	1.15	4.18	0.87
19.		000	000		000	000	022	0.00	3.67	1.15	4.11	0.93